

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 1291059 5540 04/21/2004 Chii-Ron Kuo 10/829,586 **EXAMINER** 01/12/2006 7590 Keith Kline CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL SERVICES PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 20775 Norada Court Saratoga, CA 95070-3018 3721

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/829,586	KUO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be downward will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 October 2005.	
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14 is/are withdrates 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	,
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/829,586

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 3-5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Izumisawa et al. and Kochte et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Izumisawa et al. (US 6,796,386).

With regard to claim 1, Izumisawa et al. et al. discloses a turbine motor for a pneumatic tool (51), comprising: a casing (53), a chamber (inner portion of housing), an air inlet (81) and an air outlet (91), a rotor (175) having a plurality of blades (177) formed integrally with the axis, and disposed inside the chamber (inner portion of housing), an axis (B) as shown by rotor shaft (213) carrying the rotor (175) having a rear end (213 rear end) borne by the casing (53); a stator (171 support sleeve) inserted between the rotor (175) and an inner wall of the casing (53).

With regard to claim 2, Izumisawa et al. discloses a turbine motor comprising: a casing (53), surrounding a chamber (inner portion of housing) with an air inlet (81) and an air outlet (91) being attached to the casing (53), a rotor (175) disposed inside the chamber (inner portion of housing) performing a rotational movement driven by compressed air from the air inlet (81); and an axis (B) as shown by rotor shaft (213) carrying the rotor (175) having a rear end (213 rear end) borne by the casing (53) and a front end passing through the casing (53) from

which torque is taken, a stator (171 inserted between the rotor (175) and an inner wall of the casing (53) and coaxial with the rotor (175).

With regard to claims 4 and 15, Izumisawa et al. disclose turbine motor of et al. including the stator (171 support sleeve) radially oriented and placed opposite the air inlet (81).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumisawa et al. in view of Kochte et al. (US 4,589,161).

With regard to claim 3, Izumisawa et al. discloses a turbine motor comprising: a casing (53), surrounding a chamber (inner portion of housing) with an air inlet (81) and an air outlet (91)being attached to the casing (53), a rotor (175) disposed inside the chamber (inner portion of housing) performing a rotational movement driven by compressed air from the air inlet (81); and an axis as shown by rotor shaft (213) carrying the rotor (175) having a rear end (213 rear end) borne by the casing (53) and a front end passing through the casing (53) from which torque is taken, a stator (171 support sleeve).

Izumisawa et al. discloses all claimed subject matter but specific teaching of stator blades inserted between the rotor and an inner wall of the casing and coaxial with the rotor.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,586

Art Unit: 3721

However, the reference of Kochte et al. teaches a stator (14) including stator blades (90) inserted between the rotor (71) and an inner wall (38) of the casing (11) for effectively directing air passing through the housing (11) towards the turbine wheel blades (63) at an angle.

See (col. 4, lines 3-5).

In view of the teaching of Kochte et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the turbine motor of Izumisawa et al. by providing stator blades in order to provide the benefit as described above.

With regard to claim 5, the turbine motor of Izumisawa et al. includes the stator (171 support sleeve) radially oriented and placed opposite the air inlet (81).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

December 28, 2005

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700